

Colorado

# **BACKGROUND & SIGNIFICANCE**

The development of speech perception, including speech discrimination, depends partially on early exposure to and experience with highly salient and behaviorally relevant acoustic input. Our previous research has highlighted the importance of quality sound access for the development of speech discrimination in infants as young as one month of age. Specifically, we have demonstrated that the speech evoked mismatch response (MMR<sub>SE</sub>) is modulated by discrimination difficulty and may be useful in predicting later behavioral outcomes in infants. However, there is wide variability in discrimination outcomes that may affect the utility of MMR<sub>se</sub> as a biomarker; for example, the absence of a response may not be an accurate indicator of discrimination but a reflection of the still developing neural generators for engaging in and completing the task.

## OBJECTIVE

We examined the time-frequency representation of the  $MMR_{SF}$  (TF-MMR<sub>SF</sub>) as a function of the number of trials elapsed from the last deviant stimulus to determine whether the evoked potential magnitudes preceding a deviant trial could predict the identification of a difference response and whether such differences might correlate with later behavioral outcomes.

## METHODS

## Participants

Hearing Loss (HL)

- N = 40
- Age (MMR): 3.4 (0.9) mo
- Age (VRISD): 8.9 (3.9) mo

## Normal Listeners (NL)

- N = 47
- Age (MMR): 3.3 (1.1) mo
- Age (VRISD): 8.3 (1.6) mo

## Stimulus parameters

- Two stimulus contrasts: /a-i/ and /ba-da/
- P(deviant) = 0.15
- 0.5s duration per sound
- Pitch matched at 204 Hz
- Equated for loudness
- 70dBA in sound field

## Electroencephalography

- Continuous EEG was recorded during sleep throughout the session
- 11 electrode montage
- Nasion (Nz) reference during acquisition



- Ocular activity (EOG) was monitored for wakefulness & REM state
- EEG was rereferenced to the linked mastoid channels after artifact rejection.
- Final ERPs analyzed from Cz
- ERPs transformed to timefrequency via CWT (64 logspaced scales, 1-18 Hz)

## **1. HABITUATION EFFECTS**

## Effects of habituation on the TF-MMR<sub>SE</sub>

### 1a. Trial selection

To examine the effects of habituation on the TF-MMR $_{SE}$ , ERP trials were binned into three groups based on the number of standard trials that had elapsed since the previous deviant stimulus. The three habituation groups are labeled as short, medium, and long, referring to the time or number of elapsed standard trials:

•	Short (S):	2 - 4 trials
•	Medium (M):	5 - 7 trials
•	Long (L):	8 - 11 trials

Trial bins were created separately for standard and deviant trials. After binning the trials, we computed the mean TF Coherence response for each set of bins.

### 1b. Analysis

We used an extension of classical multidimensional scaling known as DISTATIS to test for generalized effects of habituation on all responses (i.e., irrespective of hearing group or contrast type). Each set of retained responses was transformed into a grammian, or "gram" matrix, which is a normalized representation of the spectral-temporal covariances in the TF response. A principal component analysis (PCA) of the mean grammian was used to identify three eigenvectors that, together, explained 82.7% of the total variance amongst all responses. These three eigenvectors were used to compute weighted scores for



# Neural Habituation of the Mismatch Response Predicts Pattern Detection and **Speech Discrimination in Infants**

## Uhler, K.<sup>1,2</sup>, Gilley, P.M.<sup>3</sup>, and Hunter, S.K.<sup>1</sup>

1. CU Anschutz School of Medicine 2. Children's Hospital Colorado 3. University of Colorado, Boulder-Institute of Cognitive Science

each response, which were then treated as dependent variables for group comparison. Figure 1 (left) shows a schematic illustration of the DISTATIS approach for analysis.



Figure 2 (above) shows the weighted scores for each selected eigenvector, E1, E2, and E3. Each panel depicts two trial groups: standard trials (left column group), deviant trials (center column group), and their differences (deviant minus standard, right column group). Each column group depicts the weighted score for each of three habituation groups: short (blue bars), medium (red bars), and long (gold bars). The arrows over each of the standard groups are schematic and are plotted to highlight the observed habituation effects. Note that while E1 and E3 clearly demonstrate habituation of the standard trials, the effect in E2 appears to be driven by the deviant trials.



**Figure 3.** Spectral and temporal projections **Figure 4.** Time-frequency long (gold lines).



# 2. PREDICTING DISCRIMINATION OUTCOMES

## Predicting behavioral discrimination outcomes

2a. Testing behavioral discrimination To examine whether scaled TF-MMR<sub>SF</sub> responses might be predictive of later behavioral performance, each subject was administered the Visual Reinforcement Infant Speech Discrimination test (VRISD) approximately 6 months after EEG testing. The VRISD PC Max score for each subject and contrast was treated as the dependent variable in a pattern classification analysis. VRISD scores were grouped into six groups based on the PC Max scores (see Figure 5). The goal of the classification algorithm was to predict individual VRISD scores from the corresponding TF-MMR<sub>SE</sub> grammian matrix.

## 2b. Classification analysis

The grammian matrix for each TF-MMR<sub>SF</sub> response (deviant minus standard; all trials) was treated as the predictor variable in a classification analysis of the corresponding VRISD score. We applied a semi-supervised learning algorithm extended from the family of multiclass support vector machine (SVM) algorithms. The goal of this algorithm was to find a set of weights that, when multiplied by a given grammian, results in a score that predicts membership in one of the six VRISD score groups (i.e., the closest median score from each group). Results of the classification algorithm were compiled in a contigency table and were tested for significance using the Chi-square test for independence of groups.

## **RESULTS: MMR --> VRISD PREDICTIONS**



# CONCLUSIONS

These results revealed a habituation effect corresponding with the time elapsed from the last deviant trial and changes in the frequency and magnitude of the TF-MMR<sub>SE</sub>. These results also revealed a significant correlation between the TF-MMR<sub>SF</sub> response and later VRISD scores. Taken together, these results suggest that acoustic audibility and salience are both necessary but not sufficient for speech discrimination and that discrimination is dependent on the brain's ability to recognize and adapt to complex stimulus patterns.

### References

- 3. Luck SJ, Gaspelin N. How to get statistically significant effects in any ERP experiment (and why you shouldn't). Psychophysiology 2017;54:146–57.

- Computational Statistics, 4(2), 124–167.

## Funding & Support

This research was supported by the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communicatic Disorders to author KU (NIDCD K23DC013583); and the National Institute on Disability. Independent Living, and Rehabilitation Research to author PMG (NIDILRR grant number 90RE5020-01-00). This research was approved by the Colorado Multiple Institutional Review Board: COMIRB 13-0342.



- 1. Cranford JL, Walker LJ, Stuart A, Elangovan S, Pravica D. Potential contamination effects of neuronal refractoriness on the speech-evoked mismatch negativity response. J Am Acad Audiol 2003;14:251–9. 2. Gilley PM, Sharma M, Purdy SC. Oscillatory decoupling differentiates auditory encoding deficits in children with listening problems. Clin Neurophysiol 2016;127:1618–28.
- 4. Näätänen R, Lehtokoski A, Lennes M, Cheour M, Huotilainen M, livonen A, et al. Language-specific phoneme representations revealed by electric and magnetic brain responses. Nature 1997;385:432–4. 5. Näätänen R, Paavilainen P, Rinne T, Alho K. The mismatch negativity (MMN) in basic research of central auditory processing: A review. Clin Neurophysiol 2008;118:2544–90.
- 6. Otte RA, Winkler I, Braeken MAKA, Stekelenburg JJ, van der Stelt O, Van den Bergh BRH. Detecting violations of temporal regularities in waking and sleeping two-month-old infants. Biol Psychol 2013;92:315–22. 7. Trainor L, McFadden M, Hodgson L, Darragh L, Barlow J, Matsos L, et al. Changes in auditory cortex and the development of mismatch negativity between 2 and 6 months of age. Int J Psychophysiol 2003;51:5–15. 8. Uhler K, Yoshinaga-Itano C, Gabbard SA, Rothpletz AM, Jenkins H. Longitudinal infant speech perception in young cochlear implant users. J Am Acad Audiol 2011;22. 9. Uhler KM, Baca R, Dudas E, Fredrickson T. Refining stimulus parameters in assessing infant speech perception using visual reinforcement infant speech discrimination: Sensation level. J Am Acad Audiol 2015;26.
- 10. Vilnis, L., Karampatziakis, N., & Mineiro, P. (n.d.). Generalized Eigenvectors for Large Multiclass Problems. People.Cs.Umass.Edu, 1–5. Retrieved from http://people.cs.umass.edu/~luke/nips-ws-multiclass-gevs.pdf 11. Uhlig, F., & Zhang, Y. (2019). Time-varying matrix eigenanalyses via Zhang Neural Networks and look-ahead finite difference equations. Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 580, 417–435. 12. Abdi, H., Williams, L. J., Valentin, D., & Bennani-Dosse, M. (2012). STATIS and DISTATIS: optimum multitable principal component analysis and three way metric multidimensional scaling. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews:





